	1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION AUSTIN, TEXAS PUBLIC MEETING) FOR THE TEXAS) WORKFORCE COMMISSION)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JOBS AND EDUCATION FOR TEXANS (JET) ADVISORY BOARD MEETING TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6th, 2018
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BE IT REMEMBERED THAT at 1:45 p.m. on Tuesday, the 6th day of February, 2018, the above-entitled matter came on for hearing at the Texas Workforce Commission, TWC Building, 101 East 15 th Street, Room 244, Austin, Texas, before ANDRES ALCANTAR, Chairman; JULIAN ALVAREZ and RUTH R. HUGHS, Commissioners
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	PAGE
3	PROCEEDINGS, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2018
4	AGENDA ITEM NO. 1
5	AGENDA ITEM NO. 24
6	EMILY CLODFELTER
7	AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
8	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
9	AGENDA TIEM NO. 4II AARON DEMERSON
10	AGENDA ITEM NO. 5
11	AGENDA ITEM NO. 6
12	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR
13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 85
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

	3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2018
3	(1:45 p.m.)
4	
5	AGENDA ITEM NO. 1
6	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Good afternoon, everyone.
7	I'd like to call this meeting to order. Emily, Roll Call?
8	MS. CLODFELTER: Chairman, Andres Alcantar?
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Here.
10	MS. CLODFELTER: Doctor David Gardner?
11	MR. GARDNER: Here.
12	MS. CLODFELTER: Scott Norman?
13	MR. NORMAN: Here.
14	MS. CLODFELTER: Steve Lecholop? Tony
15	Fidelie?
16	MR. FIDELIE: Here.
17	MS. CLODFELTER: And, Mario Lozoya?
18	MR. LOZOYA: Here.
19	MS. CLODFELTER: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Very briefly, we have a
21	new member. Scott, do you want to introduce yourself to the
22	new folks here?
23	MR. NORMAN: Yes, my name is Scott Norman,
24	I'm the Executive Director of the Texas Association of
25	Builders, which is the statewide homebuilder, remodeler,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	developer and associate (indiscernible) trade association,
2	with about 10,000, member companies around the state.
3	There's 27 local homebuilder associations. Obviously, what
4	this JET board does, is very important to us. Workforce
5	issues is the, along with water availability probably two
6	big limiting factors on our industry, and housing, moving
7	forward. So, it's something we've been focusing on for some
8	time, and I'm just glad to be a part of this and see how I
9	can contribute.
10	CHAIRMAN ALVAREZ: Well, thank you. We're
11	really proud to have you as a member of this Advisory Board.
12	You're joining a strong team. The individuals serving on
13	this board; really proud of the work that they're doing, and
14	the bring a lot of effort and some good ideas into it. I'm
15	sure you'll add to the overall equation.
16	AGENDA ITEM NO. 2
17	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We're moving onto public
18	comments. We do do we have any public comments?
19	MS. CLODFELTER: No, sir, we do not.
20	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: At this moment, I'd like
21	to recogni recognize Commissioner Ruth Hughs. The
22	commissioner representing employers, to share some opening
23	comments.
24	COMM. HUGHS: Thank you, Chairman. I'm very
25	grateful to just get to be here today for the first JET
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	meeting; and to welcome all of you and thank you for the
2	work that you do here. We know that you take time out of
3	your regular routine and schedules to contribute your
4	talents to the group. And, I can tell you, having gone to
5	JET presentations in San Diego, (indiscernible), Laredo,
6	that we really see the impact that it has on these
7	communities, in terms of capacity building, and having the
8	ability to provide the equipment to their students; to
9	really help them for future careers. So, I want to thank
10	you all for that. Scott, thank you so much for agreeing to
11	join. If anyone listened to any kind of radio or broadcast
12	after Harvey, you probably heard Scott, because he worked
13	tirelessly after the storm, in addressing all the needs of
14	his members. And, it was just an overwhelming need, and
15	it's an industry that already was needing more assistance,
16	and more pathways towards those towards guiding students
17	in that direction. So, we're really excited that you're
18	serving. I also want to welcome Anissa (ph), and thank her
19	for joining us here today, and for learning more about what
20	JET does. Maybe she can later share her views on that.
21	With that, I'll turn it over to Commissioner Alvarez.
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTER: Commissioner Alvarez
23	representing labor.
24	COMM. ALVAREZ: I'm the Commissioner here
25	representing labor, welcome aboard.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. NORMAN: Thank you.
2	COMM. ALVAREZ: So, you join a great group of
3	Board members; very energetic. Great things came off of the
4	first and second phase of our JET awards throughout the
5	state, so we welcome you. This past year, I had an
6	opportunity, like Commissioner Hughs, attend various events
7	where we not only present skills grants, but we also
8	presented JET grants. And, so I was joined by one of your
9	members, Mario Lozoya, in Harlingen, where we asked him to
10	say a few words. And, he did on behalf of the board. But,
11	one of the things that I found fascinating as we travelled
12	the state, was there was so much interest. Emily Clodfelter
13	and Aaron Demerson; part of the team, did a really good job
14	of marketing, with recommendations made by this board. So,
15	we look forward to your recommendations as well. And, so I
16	was so impressed with that, that we allowed members of the
17	WALMA (ph) team or Waco ISD, to be my super-session, where
18	we had opportunities for young adults to talk about the
19	importance of career technology, trade, P-TECH and all of
20	that. So, with that, I welcome you to being here. Welcome
21	higher ed, as well. My good friend David, here, and
22	(indiscernible) members. Thank you for allowing me to
23	participate.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Thank you, Commissioner
25	Alvarez, and thank you Commissioner Hughs for your strong
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	support for this program. We really admire your leadership.
2	Now, with that, we'll get to the Agenda.
3	AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
4	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Aaron Demerson, good
5	afternoon. You're here to provide us an update; and
6	overview of the JET Program FY '16-17 JET grant Awards.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. DEMERSON: Let me see if this microphone
9	is working, but I'll talk loud, in case it's not. But,
10	again, thank you guys for the work that you've you've
11	done. This advisory board has been very important to us
12	here. The staff continues to be a heavy appetite for this
13	program; the JET Program. We've allocated about \$10,000,000
14	since it's come over from the Comptroller's office. And,
15	about \$45,000,000 in demand that's out there. So, we
16	continue to market the program. But, from the work that you
17	guys are doing when you go out and visit these communities;
18	it means a lot to them when you're out with the check
19	presentations; that they appreciate you being out there, in
20	a big, big way. So, we're going to allow Emily to come up
21	and talk about some of the updates; the legislative updates
22	that have happened over the sessions, and we'll move forward
23	with the agenda. So, I'll turn it over to Emily.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Emily before you get into
25	it; for the record, we have one of our members, Steve who is
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 not with us currently. Unfortunately, he has come down with 2 the dreaded flu, and he sends his regards and regrets that he's not able to join on these important discussions. 3 4 Please move forward. 5 MS. CLODFELTER: Thank you, Chairman. So, 6 since we had last met, just wanted to give a brief overview. 7 Since the program goals and statutory requirements for the 8 program have stayed the same. We're still providing grants 9 to purchase and install equipment necessary for the 10 development of CTE Courses and programs that lead to a 11 license certificate of post-secondary degree, in a high 12 demand occupation. During the 80th legislative session in 13 2017, there was one Bill that passed that does affect the 14 JET grant Program. House Bill 2431, which you will find 15 behind Tab 3, is -- allows and includes the public state 16 colleges as eligible offers. Which, public state colleges 17 would be the Lamar State College, Orange; and Lamar State 18 College, Port Arthur. So, two new eligible offers for the 19 JET grant Program. So, Aaron and I also wanted to give you 20 an overview and highlight the past biennium, in the past two 21 rounds that have happened in the JET grant Program, and the 22 \$10,000,000 that has been awarded. So, behind Tab 4, you 23 will see is their mission of proposal summary; which just 24 talks about the proposals received, versus what was awarded, 25 not to mention, for the \$10,000,000 that we had available, Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	we received about \$45,000,000 worth of proposals. Behind
2	that page, is again a refresher a reminder of the grants
3	that were awarded, to which institutions, the grant balance,
4	as well as the occupations. And, then behind those four
5	pages, I wanted to show you a map, which we have our
6	Workforce Development areas that contains the community
7	colleges receiving grants, as well as the ISD's. To give
8	you an idea of the spread, over around the state where
9	these grants have have gone. And, I also wanted to show
10	a couple quick videos just to we mentioned check
11	presentations, that we have done for these grants. And, one
12	school, in particular, has decided to do some YouTube
13	videos, actually, about their grants. Harlingen ISD
14	received a JET grant for their auto tech course, and they
15	did a video right when they got the grants about they wanted
16	to do, how excited they were and how they plan on using the
17	funds. Well, then they did the second video after they had
18	received the funds and after the equipment was installed,
19	and just how excited they were about what they were getting
20	to do. So, I wanted to go ahead and play we'll get this
21	started.
22	(VIDEO PLAYED)
23	MS. CLODFELTER: This was made by the
24	students at Harlingen ISD. And, so the second video, as
25	Commissioner Alvarez mentioned, a ribbon cutting at
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Harlingen ISD; they actually played this video while they
2	were there. So, Mario, you explain this video. So, this is
3	a video that they after they had received
4	(VIDEO PLAYED)
5	MS. CLODFELTER: So, this is one of one of
6	fifty-one grants that has been awarded. So, this is one
7	story of the fifty-one stories that we have. And, so just
8	wanted to give a little snap shot of you know we see it
9	on paper; which we can read what the grants are and what
10	they're going towards. But, it's about those kids, and
11	getting to see how excited they are; that's where these
12	check presentations that we always send the agendas for, are
13	so vital to these grants. Because we really get to
14	celebrate. Not only do the grants, the equipment, the
15	awards, but celebrate these students and what they're
16	getting to do, and the opportunities that they get to have;
17	because of these grants. So, we are excited that during
18	this legislative session, we were allocated another
19	\$2,000,000. So, \$5,000,000 per fiscal year. So, \$5,000,000
20	for FY '18, and \$5,000,000 for FY '19. So, we've only
21	you know, we've had two rounds. We've allocated
22	\$10,000,000. I'm excited to see where this next \$10,000,000
23	could be so that is FY '16 and '17 update.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Great.
25	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Item 4, Discussion,
 Consideration and Possible Action and Program Parameters for
 JET grants to Public Community Colleges, Public Technical
 Institutes, which is New. Public state colleges in the
 school districts. We have Aaron Demerson. And, this is
 where we actually have discussion.

MR. DEMERSON: Good, so let me draw your 8 attention to Tab 5. On Tab 5 we are going to talk about 9 taking action on three items today. I'd like you guys to 10 take action on three items today. One to be the allocation of funds. The next is going to be the minimum and maximum 11 12 rent amount. And, then an overview of the RFA timelines. 13 And, Carol from our contracts team will come to the board as 14 well. So, the first item deals with funding allocations. 15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Aaron before you go too 16 far, for the benefit of our new board member, for the 17 record, could you go over the -- some of the criteria that 18 we, that we've had in place in terms of the awards? 19 MS. CLODFELTER: The evaluation criteria? 20 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yes, just as a reminder 21 for everyone. 22 MS. CLODFELTER: Absolutely. So, for the 23 proposals and applications that come in, there is a two-part 24 scoring; one part is that actual proposal which we take into 25 consideration the number of possible grants -- JET grants Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	that have been awarded to the institution, property wealth
2	rate for community colleges, the TEA economically
3	disadvantaged rate. We look at unemployment Rate. We look
4	at industry involvement. Letters of support from local
5	industry; whether that's your local EDC, local businesses;
6	and then we also look at a second part is the economic
7	impact section, which we look at the wage impact. Taking
8	that occupation, that entry-level, wage, that occupation in
9	that Workforce Development Board area, minus and entry-level
10	high school age. And, multiplied by the number of
11	students. And, then we also look at poverty the poverty
12	level, for TE by TEA, per ISD. We are also looking at
13	the ISD type, per TEA. Which is they're classified as a
14	rural ISD, an urban ISD, suburban ISD. And, so we look at
15	several factors like those when we're calculating and and
16	evaluating these proposals.
17	MR. NORMAN: Translate down in the school
18	works, I guess?
19	MS. CLODFELTER: Absolutely. And then they're
20	ranked according to those scores; on a 100-point scale.
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And per the Advisory
22	Committee, there's a focus on the demand for those
23	occupations that are (indiscernible).
24	MS. CLODFELTER: Yes, and we, so, speaking of
25	the program goal and how we focus on high demand
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	occupations; we rely on our 28 local Workforce Development
2	Boards to tell us what those high demand occupations are in
3	the Region. And, those boards sign-off when a when an
4	ISD or colleges is submitting their proposal; those those
5	boards sign-off, if there's a yes. This occupation that
6	they're applying in there is high demand in this Region.
7	MR. TEMPLE: And, Emily, could you recap the
8	response we had from the first to the second; we did have
9	incredible response the second time the board had asked to
10	do an outreach. But, if it's very competitive we get a
11	it's really growing in popularity.
12	MS. COLDFELTER: Absolutely. So, again those
13	are the top four; that proposal summary. So, the first
14	round we'll call it; the RTF was issued in May of 2016, May
15	of 2016. And, during that time, as you can see, 59 ISD's
16	were both 59 proposals for ISD's and 42 for community
17	colleges were received. Between the first round and the
18	second round, per the Advisory Board, they asked us to
19	outreach to ISD's. So, this program is very new to ISD's,
20	so we wanted to get the word out there. So, we not only sent
21	we sent a letter from all three commissioners, to every
22	single ISD superintendent, and CTE director; to all twenty-
23	education service and their executive directors. Aaron and
24	I got on the road, had a tour of Texas. We were out there
25	speaking to everyone that we could. There was one-time I
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	got a phone call from a gentleman a superintendent out in
2	the Panhandle. And, he's like; I live West of the Middle of
3	Nowhere. He's like; I knew about and I've heard about
4	this grant from three different people who live from the
5	CFC Executive Director, from a letter. And, then from one
6	other something. He was so impressed, he was like; What is
7	this? Like what, I'm getting this from every single angle.
8	So, we really, really tried to get the word out to ISD's.
9	So, for the next round of grants, from the ISD's, we
10	received, as you can see thirty-one more proposals; from
11	ISD's. And, so and the calls
12	MR. NORMAN: You told me you all told me
13	this when we visited on the phone, that ISD's were only
14	recently added
15	MS. CLODFELTER: Yes, they were dur the
16	during the 84^{th} legislative session, where the program has
17	moved over to TWC that's when ISD's were included as
18	eligible offers. So, and it isn't we have not rested
19	on our laurels. We have still continued to speak about the
20	program everywhere we go, and you know, the calls and emails
21	we get are a constant from ISD's; still finding out about
22	the program, and still inquiring and still very, very
23	interested to know more about this JET grant Program. So,
24	we're excited to see the numbers grow.
25	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Thanks. Go ahead, Aaron.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. DEMERSON: Okay, good, again so I draw
2	your attention again to Tab 5, and we'll talk about the
3	funding about allocation. In the past we've had fiscal
4	year '16, 50 percent towards IT within school districts and
5	then 50 percent towards the community colleges. Board took
6	action fiscal year fiscal year '17, and we followed that
7	same that. And so, we're here today to seek the board's
8	direction in regards to fiscal year '18, and the funded
9	allocation for that the \$5,000,000 here.
10	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, you recommend
11	and, what we're looking at is allocating to proportionately,
12	evenly 2.5 million both to ISD's and the other 2.5 million
13	to institutes of higher education?
14	MR. DEMERSON: That's the boards that's
15	what the board can decide and determine.
16	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, you do we have any
17	discussion on that? Mario?
18	MR. LOZOYA: Yes, sir. Thank you. I think
19	we can use this data that we looked at; that Emily just
20	mentioned. We started with 59 ISD's. We did some we did
21	some marketing, some work in putting the word out. We went
22	to 90 ISD's. That's a huge improvement; really commend you
23	for that. However, we know that there's 1200 total ISD's.
24	Is that is that correct?
25	MS. CLODFELTER: Yes.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. LOZOYA: And then when we look at
2	community colleges; remind me, is it 53?
3	MS. CLODFELTER: It's 53 college districts.
4	MR. GARDNER: 50 districts.
5	MR. LOZOYA: 50 districts.
6	MS. CLODFELTER: The two technical colleges,
7	and then now
8	MR. LOZOYA: Total 55'ish.
9	MS. CLODFELTER: 54.
10	MR. LOZOYA: 54, right? And, when you look
11	at the number that applied; 42, that's a pretty good
12	percentage. Right? Consider that. Then, when you look at
13	the requested amounts; the ISD has doubled the requested
14	amounts, and we're not even close to hitting the surface of
15	the total amounts of ISD's available, right, 1200. So, I
16	think based with based on these numbers, I would
17	recommend going 75 ISD's, 25 community college. That's my
18	recommendation based on the data that we're seeing right
19	now.
20	MR. NORMAN: I guess in regard to that text,
21	and I was sitting here thinking about all the school
22	districts myself. But, one thing to bear in mind is say for
23	example, San Antonio. We have Alamo Community College
24	District, we have multiple school districts. And then, some
25	programs place at the community college if this board pushes
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	in this direction. Should be serving some of the programs
2	are serving that receive money for all those school
3	districts. And, so you have a similar thing in Houston.
4	So, I do think your point is still a good one. But, in some
5	parts of the state, there are no there are no community
6	colleges whatsoever. And so, I think I think I don't
7	disagree with what you're saying. I think there should be
8	at least a little latitude in the thinking
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Sure.
10	MR. NORMAN: into thinking to take into
11	account where there's some grants maybe, at a community
12	college; maybe even serving multiple school districts. And,
13	I think at wherever possible then do this isn't
14	nearly enough money.
15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah.
16	MR. NORMAN: To serve the audience, at all.
17	We really need several times this amount of money.
18	MR. LOZOYA: I would add that's a really
19	good point. I would add, however, that similarly in ISD's,
20	I can speak to one particular ISD in San Antonio that has
21	like ten high schools. Right? And, hopefully they try to
22	collaborate somehow, some way. And, then I get the
23	pathways concept between middle school, high school,
24	community college, partnerships. I get that too. You know,
25	I'm just trying to I think the issue here we're
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

we're dealing with an amount of dollars that maybe are not 1 2 fitting to this kind of demand. But, I just think we need 3 to rethink the 50/50, is my point. MR. NORMAN: Could -- I don't recall -- we 4 5 don't give extra points for partnerships, do we? If not, I 6 think we ought to consider extra weight to where there are 7 community colleges, and multiple ISD's involved. Or, in the 8 example you guys came out --9 in a school district where multiple high schools were 10 involved. So, at least a little additional weight to 11 potentially serve more students. 12 MS. CLODFELTER: So, history -- per statute 13 the ISD's do have to be in partnership with a community 14 college. That is a requirement. However, historically, we 15 have not given -- given any weight to ISD's that are 16 partnered together. They are allowed to collaborate, but we 17 have not given any additional points to that. 18 MR. NORMAN: Part of what I should have said 19 is for community colleges is if they're partnering with 20 multiple, a little additional weight, if they're partnering 21 with multiple ISD's. Not just the required amount, they were setting in San Antonio is there --22 23 CHAIR ALCANTAR: Sure. 24 MR. NORMAN: Alamo is partnered with ISD's. 25 That that might be more valuable. Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. LOZOYA: I agree with that too. And, and
2	I'd love to go into that conversation, but I think this is
3	this is a little different. Because, I do have some
4	input on the scoring matrix.
5	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, let's continue on
6	the discussion in terms of the split. I Scott has a
7	comment real quick and then I'll add mine in.
8	MR. NORMAN: Two questions I have, to follow-
9	up what y'all were saying about collaboration. I'm going up
10	there Friday for another ribbon cutting under construction
11	program, this Friday. But, I think that's 17 school
12	districts collaborating on this. So, that's certainly and
13	that's just at ISD level, so that ought to merit additional
14	points as well, I think. But, new guy question. You know,
15	Mario, you were talking about the numbers that have applied.
16	You said there's 50 or 60 higher ed? So, the percentage of
17	those applying is vastly greater than the number of ISD
18	the percentage of ISD's who are applying. And then this
19	the the dreaded obvious thing that I guess coming out it;
20	there are advocates for both of these groups over, across
21	the street at the capital. So, are we opening a can of
22	worms when we should really be thinking about how we should
23	grow the entire pie, going forward? Would be my question.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I think those are great
25	comments. The reality is the legislature just expanded the
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	number of applicants, or the type of applicants that should
2	be available under the community colleges category, by
3	adding our technical skills to it. In other words, they
4	want us to continue to focus on our community colleges by
5	the addition of our technical schools. They do that with
6	the understanding that when we expanded the eligibility to
7	include ISD's, we were reducing the amount available to a
8	program that had that was perceived of having great
9	value. In other words, there was great value associated
10	with the JET Program as it currently was; with this specific
11	focus. With the expansion to ISD's, certainly they were
12	hoping to do some of what we've been able to accomplish. I
13	think when we look at this, there are valid points. I'm not
14	going to vote on a motion once we have one. I'm not voting
15	on these, but from my perspective I think you're right,
16	Scott. Focusing on growing pots; there are some real
17	advantages from our perspective. We work with both
18	institutions. Our community colleges and technical schools
19	deploy vast solutions for our companies. They do it for
20	these workers who are in duel credit college models; which
21	do involve direct partnerships with our ISD's. And, they do
22	it for our response to solutions to construction; to
23	manufacturing; to these different sectors from our economy.
24	So, as you consider the split, whether to
25	keep it at 50/50 or not, I do ask that you remember that the
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

legislature just added the technical schools. And, at the 1 2 time that we're adding technical schools to community 3 colleges, we need to consider whether or not we're reducing 4 unavailable; for that group that was intended to benefit 5 from that split. But, with that, we may have a motion, 6 unless there's further discussion Tony, and think we need to 7 follow-up on those comments. 8 MR. LOZOYA: I do. I wonder -- and I know 9 that we can't figure it out right now, but I wonder what's 10 the percentage of those 1200 ISD's that don't have a 11 community college down the street. You know, that are 12 nearby, that they can partner with, right? I think we 13 should consider that too. 14 MS. CLODFELTER: Well, Mario, that's 15 So, when we were speaking about the scoring I interesting. 16 did forget one in the proposal packet, one score, or one 17 question for the ISD's; is whether or not they have a --18 their partnered community college has a physical location 19 within their county. So, they do receive more points if 20 that -- if they do not have a community college physically 21 located within their county. So, --22 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: They also have a rule of 23 focus; that's with part of the scoring. So, we kind of address it in terms of the criteria that this board adopted 24 25 last time. Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MS. CLODFELTER: Doctor Gardner, following up
2	on your comment about collaboration; so, again we have
3	language in there for the ISD's to collaborate. The ISD's
4	are required to partner with their community college. The
5	community college is not required to partner with their
6	ISD's for the purpose of this program. But, is that
7	something you're interested in seeing how the community
8	colleges are partnering with the ISD's?
9	MR. GARDNER: Well, I think it would make
10	sense in terms of the discussion so far; would give perhaps
11	a little more extra weight, if that would encourage them to
12	do that. One of the problems that this whole program
13	addresses, is to provide equipment that's necessary, and to
14	keep them up to date. And, I think we can't necessarily
15	provide that in every high school. If you have something
16	some location where the high schools in the area can all
17	their students can be benefitting, sometimes I think that
18	might be a single community college where multiple people
19	can take advantage, I think you can take the money
20	stretches further and you could potentially use that to
21	whatever, purchase more hours during the day. And, I know
22	that many of our higher education institutions tend to be
23	either focusing morning and the evening, or the afternoon
24	and the evening. And, there's time for many of the
25	facilities could use other times during the day, or on the
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	weekends. And, if we could encourage them to take advantage
2	of it that's I thought it was important, and so, this
3	is don't assume this is well thought out.
4	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, and I'd rather not
5	move forward to something that isn't well thought out, so
6	but I do think that's a valid point.
7	MR. GARDNER: I think that's true with as
8	many people as possible, right? That's what were trying to
9	do as many school training opportunities as possible. I
10	think is the ultimate goal here. And, have the equipment
11	that is at least equivalent to what you'll find in industry.
12	There's many places where they're using equipment that's
13	out-of-date and we're training people to use equipment that
14	nobody in the industry is using anymore.
15	MR. FIDELIE: Does staff see any issue, or
16	with putting that in there? Say, if you're community
17	college and you're requesting you're needing preferential
18	treatment if you have school districts that are on your
19	side; if you will, or that you partnered with. I don't see
20	a downside to it, but it just all it does is incentivize
21	collaboration, right? And, it's like
22	MR. GARNDER: When you consider the 50/50,
23	then, it would be easier to do that if you were encouraging
24	the them to work with more schools.
25	MR. NORMAN: Stretches those resources.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. GARDNER: Right, yeah.
2	MR. FIDELIE: School districts can use a
3	higher ed facility.
4	MR. NORMAN: And, so it's (indiscernible) the
5	school districts have to do with them, but the community
6	college (indiscernible) after that we encourage.
7	MR. FIDELIE: Because my additional thought
8	coming to the meeting was more in line with Mario. You've
9	got a thousand plus school districts in the State of Texas,
10	that are competing, and we're actively marketing to them to
11	apply more. And, then you have 50'ish of the higher
12	education, and you think, man, it seems disproportionate to
13	give them both same pot of money to work with. But, I
14	appreciate also the comments that the Chairman, regarding
15	what's the legislative intent? I mean, obviously, they've
16	amended it to now include two more public state colleges.
17	MR. NORMAN: The pots of money that the
18	school districts have available the different avenues for
19	funding, are much broader than higher education as well. I
20	mean this isn't the only seem to be related funding, that
21	the school districts have available.
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: As we heard yesterday,
23	during our discussions on internships, there are different
24	resources, there are different tax basis; if you look at a
25	college like Lynn, that has almost no taxable base; those
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	are issues to consider. They're the dollars, we're not
2	talking about a significant amount of money. 2.5 million
3	doesn't go very far, and so, that's the bottom line. So,
4	with that, do we have any further discussions?
5	MR. LOZOYA: Well I think if we're not going
6	to move on the 50/50, I think it makes sense then to ask if
7	there are college in partnership with any high schools.
8	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, I'm not suggesting
9	if you still want to make a motion, Mario; as I've
10	indicated, I've just presented the options. But, let me
11	know if you want to do if you want to think about it
12	before you move. Then if you're going to split, you're
13	prepared to go forward and change the criteria, to encourage
14	more partnerships with our ISD's.
15	MR. LOZOYA: Right, so in just looking at
16	the staff for guidance here. I do want to have a
17	conversation about criteria. This would be one of them.
18	Because I have another data point I'd like to talk about,
19	but if right now if we're talking about the 50/50, then
20	we'll just stay with that. But, with the understanding that
21	we are going to discuss the criteria, I'll wait on that.
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Oh, absolutely. We're
23	going to have a discussion on everything before we approve
24	final posting of the RFA.
25	MR. LOZOYA: So, then I'll wait on the
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 criteria conversations, to make a motion on that. 2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Do you want to move the 50/50 for now, or do you want to move that at the end? 3 4 MR. LOZOYA: Well, I -- I'm still in the 5 opinion that we should change the 50/50. Maybe 75/25 is not б right, but maybe 60/40. I just think that the ISD's need a 7 little bit more than 50/50. 8 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we need a motion on 9 this. 10 MR. LOZOYA: I motion that we -- that we 11 adjust on the 50/50. 12 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Aaron? 13 MR. DEMERSON: Before he motions, I want to make sure that in this motion is allocation of funds that 14 15 include any de-obligated or unused funds from prior rounds. I want to make sure that --16 17 MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, when we do the final 18 approval, absolutely. 19 COMM. HUGHS: And, I'm sorry, I'm just an 20 observer, based on the legislation that just passed; does 21 that mean less money will go to CTV's if you switch up your 22 percentages? I don't want to make the legislature mad. I'm not voting on either so, just think about that when you make 23 24 your official motion. Although, I agree you can ask Staff 25 to look at weighing things differently. Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: The fact that, again, I'd
2	have a vote, but I don't (indiscernible) putting on this.
3	The fact that there remains a focus on making sure that our
4	community colleges have the flexibility to acquire this
5	equipment, and the fact that our imports are working
6	directly with these college for fast track solutions, is a
7	key part of the overall economic strategy of this state.
8	And, the fact that they just added technical schools is
9	something that we need to consider.
10	MR. FIDELIE: Let me ask one more point of
11	clarification. When it all started in the Comptroller's
12	office, was it just to community colleges initially? And,
13	then was
14	MR. GARDNER: There was only community
15	colleges.
16	MR. FIDELIE: Then it became technical
17	institutes.
18	MR. GARDNER: Well, then it became ISD's,
19	which
20	MR. FIDELIE: So, community colleges in Texas
21	(indiscernible).
22	Mr. GARDNER: Split the money up a lot.
23	MR. NORMAN: Non-profits in the beginning.
24	That's from the first round.
25	MR. FIDELIE: Oh, yeah, I forgot about that.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, the first year, 1 2 they were reporting to be non-profits. MR. GARDNER: Technically, community colleges 3 4 were getting less money. 5 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: At the very end --6 MR. NORMAN: Most recently the legislature 7 has added a different higher ed. 8 MR. FIDELIE: And, those will be the two 9 public state colleges. That's what your referencing? 10 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yes. So, then it's TFTC 11 and Lamar. 12 MS. CLODFELTER: Lamar State -- those two 13 Lamar State. 14 MR. NORMAN: And, that was a big deal to the 15 advocates of that legislation (indiscernible) -- would be 16 similar --17 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: That's actually a fair 18 amount of typing. So, the year that was that it was operating before it came over, the funds were going to the 19 20 community colleges, specifically, right? 21 MS. COLDFELTER: And technical colleges. 2.2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Is that correct? 23 MR. FIDELIE: And technical colleges. 24 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: The year it came over, 25 the legislation that moved it over here, made it eligible Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1for ISD's. And, the intent, generally: our observations2were that there was expect expectation there would be a3split. And, that's I guess been the way that we've4operated. And, with the addition of the going into this5fiscal year, we have the added eligibility institutions6under the that would fall under the community college7side of this.8MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also9passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows10for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the11workplace. You know, so it also added programs to12legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a13pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually14had it changed on both sides.15CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was17already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to, as Mario19indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but20there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do21more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually22have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can23create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH24model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with25the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,		
3 split. And, that's I guess been the way that we've operated. And, with the addition of the going into this fiscal year, we have the added eligibility institutions under the that would fall under the community college side of this. 8 MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the workplace. You know, so it also added programs to legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually had it changed on both sides. 15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	1	for ISD's. And, the intent, generally; our observations
4 operated. And, with the addition of the going into this fiscal year, we have the added eligibility institutions under the that would fall under the community college side of this. 8 MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the workplace. You know, so it also added programs to legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually had it changed on both sides. 15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	2	were that there was expect expectation there would be a
fiscal year, we have the added eligibility institutions under the that would fall under the community college side of this. MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the workplace. You know, so it also added programs to legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually had it changed on both sides. CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	3	split. And, that's I guess been the way that we've
<pre>6 under the that would fall under the community college 7 side of this. 8 MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also 9 passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows 10 for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the 11 workplace. You know, so it also added programs to 12 legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a 13 pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually 14 had it changed on both sides. 15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the 16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was 17 already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's 18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,</pre>	4	operated. And, with the addition of the going into this
7side of this.8MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also9passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows10for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the11workplace. You know, so it also added programs to12legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a13pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually14had it changed on both sides.15CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the16 a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's18going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario19indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but20there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do21more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually22have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can23create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH24model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with25the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	5	fiscal year, we have the added eligibility institutions
8MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also9passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows10for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the11workplace. You know, so it also added programs to12legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a13pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually14had it changed on both sides.15CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was17already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's18going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario19indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but20there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do21more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually22have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can23create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH24model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with25the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	6	under the that would fall under the community college
9 passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the workplace. You know, so it also added programs to legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually had it changed on both sides. CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	7	side of this.
<pre>10 for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the 11 workplace. You know, so it also added programs to 12 legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a 13 pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually 14 had it changed on both sides. 15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the 16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was 17 already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's 18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 10 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,</pre>	8	MR. LOZOYA: And, I'll add that we also
<pre>workplace. You know, so it also added programs to legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually had it changed on both sides. CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,</pre>	9	passed Senate Bill 22 P-TECH. And, the P-TECH Bill allows
12 legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a 13 pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually 14 had it changed on both sides. 15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the 16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was 17 already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's 18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	10	for ISD's to graduate people and go straight into the
pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually had it changed on both sides. CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	11	workplace. You know, so it also added programs to
had it changed on both sides. CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	12	legislation for high schools to have the ability to have a
15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the 16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was 17 already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's 18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	13	pipe-line straight into industry, right? So, we've actually
16 a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was 17 already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's 18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	14	had it changed on both sides.
<pre>17 already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's 18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,</pre>	15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we actually had the
18 going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario 19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	16	a direct appropriations for that to TEA. There was
19 indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but 20 there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do 21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	17	already a direct appropriation of 2.5 million to TEA, that's
there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	18	going to our ISD's. So, we are in addition to, as Mario
21 more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually 22 have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can 23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	19	indicated, it did provide some legislation for P-TECH, but
have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	20	there's also 2.5 million going directly to our ISD's, to do
23 create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH 24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	21	more of these. So, with that P-TECH funding, they actually
24 model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with 25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	22	have another pot of money; our ISD's from which they can
25 the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,	23	create these type of programs, with under the P-TECH
	24	model. And, in addition to that, we've partnered up with
Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600	25	the TEA to provide another 3.2 million. Was it 3.2 million,
		Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Larry? 3.2 million that is also available for innovative
2	academies. And, through that money, that's also going to
3	the ISD's. We have the new 2.5 tied to P-TECH, going to our
4	ISD's. The 3.2 that we're making available that goes to our
5	ISD's, and then the other T-STEM dollars that are over
б	there. So, if you consider other funding streams as Scott
7	indicated, we have this other pot of money that we're about
8	to release through TEA, totaling 7.2 that goes directly to
9	these partnerships with between our ISD's and community
10	colleges.
11	MR. TEMPLE: Mr. Chairman, I believe, when we
12	briefed our LAR Senate Financial Appropriations, we
13	agreed on what the split of 50/50 was. So, just getting
14	back to the legislature
15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: The intent. Expectations.
16	MR. TEMPLE: The intent. When we did present
17	our LAR, and all the testimony, it was based on 50/50 split.
18	MR. GARDNER: I would second the discussion
19	on (indiscernible). Not all about the changes
20	(indiscernible) be reminded that it was exclusively
21	community colleges. And, also remembering community
22	colleges, actually train and retrain people, as well. So,
23	that there's continuing role. And, people might be
24	effectively training high school a few years later that will
25	be going to a community college for a different kind of
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	turining of industry, showing , and so they there there (no
1	training, as industry changes. And, so then they they're
2	almost a life-long accessed the training. It goes beyond
3	their enrollment numbers at any one point in time, and
4	it's well about 700,000 in any one point in time. And,
5	it's actually much more than that over a given year in terms
6	of who would come in, in different semesters for training.
7	MR. NORMAN: Mr. Chairman, I'll be speaking,
8	sir, on the creating of bringing a problem on ourselves
9	here, that we may not need to. I mean, again, the problem is
10	funding. The total amount needs to be a lot larger, and I'm
11	going to work on that to the extent I can. Uh, but I think
12	anything that we do, is through, indirectly by encouraging
13	the school districts to work together with community
14	colleges. Maybe even you know, rescinding that money back
15	to the school districts even though it's going through the
16	higher education allotment.
17	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: There is a
18	MR. NORMAN: By redoing the criteria.
19	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: There's a center in
20	Lubbock much like WALMA, preceded WALMA, called the Byron
21	Martin Training Center. And, if you go to Lubbock, and if
22	you go to Levelland, or Shallowater, or Wolfforth, or any of
23	those small communities, they use that center for a lot of
24	their continuing education programs. And, all the Lubbock
25	ISD's go to that center. That center is South Queens
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	College. It's operated by them. They host the Career and
2	Technical Education Program, from the Lubbock Schools, but
3	also from these rural areas, and that's how they've been
4	able to develop. The other advantage for that is that many
5	of the instructors there, have been secured through the
6	college, because of the flexibility on salaries, and so
7	forth. And so, those are I understand what we're trying
8	to do here in terms of giving more momentum to our ISD's,
9	but this is a really small pot of money. And, we're really
10	we're shrinking it even more in a way that is not going
11	to materially increase the number of possible institutions
12	on one side; at the with the consideration given to the
13	comments that Scott just made in terms of expectations. So,
14	
15	MR. NORMAN: Have the have the
16	applications on the higher ed side of the total dollars
17	always have exceeded the allocated?
18	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yes, they have, on both
19	sides.
20	MR. LOZOYA: Do we know the other funding
21	streams you mentioned are if that's equipment specific
22	like JET is.
23	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: They can use it for any
24	number of things, but certainly with our money, that's an
25	(indiscernible) expenditure through the Innovative
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Academies, correct, Reagan?
2	MR. MILLER: Uh-huh, yeah.
3	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, that's actually
4	something that we've encouraged. We encourage them to build
5	curriculum to acquire and secure the equipment that supports
6	that curriculum. And, then to add mentoring internships and
7	other components. So, it's actually not just equipment,
8	it's everything else that we know what works. So, we allow
9	them to purchase the big piece of equipment, and the smaller
10	pieces that really elevate the experience of that. So,
11	that's so the answer is yes, so
12	MR. LOZOYA: So, based on that, Commissioner,
13	I'd like to wait for the criteria portion.
14	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, let's keep going,
15	and we'll come back to the split. And, then we'll take
16	we'll have motions on all three.
17	MR. DEMERSON: Okay, the next item deals with
18	minimum and maximum rent amount of fiscal year '16. The
19	minimum is 50,000, and 350,000, kind of falling, we're
20	falling in line with the attainable from the Comptroller's
21	office. The board took action in fiscal year '17, to reduce
22	that from 50,000 to 40,000. To allow the possibility of
23	more applications, or funding appropriations availability.
24	And, so we're seeking direction for fiscal year '18 on the
25	minimum and maximum amount for the rent program.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, there are currently
2	40,000 and 350?
3	MR. DEMERSON: That's right. 40,000 minimum,
4	and 350,000 max.
5	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, they so in
6	interest of this Advisory Board per the discussion that
7	we've just had, is to increase the number of awards that are
8	given. Certainly, one of the most effective way to do that,
9	percentage wise, is to consider the maximum award made to
10	these institutions. Is that something else that you might
11	want to contemplate as we have that so we started off a
12	little bit a little bit higher on the minimum. And,
13	we've been pretty we've been flat on the higher end
14	award, of the maximum amount awarded. How many grantees
15	have we awarded at the maximum amount? Do we have that in
16	front of us?
17	MR. LOZOYA: I saw three.
18	MR. NORMAN: Yeah (indiscernible) as a median
19	broken down or, do we have a break-down?
20	MR. LOZOYA: You have you have the
21	awards.
22	MS. CLODFELTER: Go to the grant amount.
23	MR. LOZOYA: On page 4.
24	MR. NORMAN: Oh.
25	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: If you turn to page 14,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	15, and look at the broad range of
2	MR. FIDELIE: I count 10 over 300,000.
3	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: 10 over 300,000.
4	MR. TEMPLE: Mr. Chairman? One of the things
5	that we've seen, kind of across the board; but some of the
6	costs have come in much lower than what colleges and high
7	schools, in particular came in at the beginning as an
8	estimate. Some computers and some of the equipment, so some
9	of the money that we were capturing, we were overestimating
10	what the costs would be.
11	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, this
12	MR. TEMPLE: I'm not sure to what degree,
13	what percentage. If that was one of the small ones or
14	larger ones, or a little bit of both.
15	MR. DEMERSON: It's getting more on the ISD
16	side?
17	MS. RAMOS: Actually, it was a mix of both.
18	MR. LOZOYA: Did you say that they over-
19	estimated?
20	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, they over-estimated the
21	costs. And, when they got their bids in they were
22	considerably lower. Sometimes, as much as 50 percent.
23	Particularly when they were getting into IT type things.
24	Computers and stuff just got so much cheaper.
25	MR. NORMAN: I understand the rationale for
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	the maximum, trying to stretch the dollars. But, where did
2	40,000 come from?
3	MR. LOZOYA: From prior requests, I believe.
4	When, there was a lot of them around that.
5	MR. FIDELIE: I think I'd asked that same
6	question a couple of meetings ago. But, and, I recall
7	part of maybe part of the answer to me was, look if you have
8	no minimum, then you're going to get a lot of 1,500, \$2,000
9	requests, that's just going to bog down the system. And,
10	the staff's comment, wanted to make sure they were
11	substantial to some degree. And, that's I think even
12	dropped to get back to that page 10,000.
13	MR. TEMPLE: It's 40,000.
14	MR. FIDELIE: To 40,000.
15	MR. NORMAN: Do you ever get you know,
16	someone who says, you know, I wish I could apply for 25,000,
17	but I can't. Have you all gotten any inquires?
18	MR. TEMPLE: It's a lot of work
19	(indiscernible) for something that something that small.
20	So, the 50 seems to be
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We had we've approved
22	one at 48,000, if you look at the list. But, to Tony's
23	point; we have 10 of the how many awards have you made
24	Tony?
25	MR. FIDELIE: I counted 11 at 300 plus.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: 11. How many awards have
2	you made; 31, 51? So, 11 and 51 have actually been funded
3	over 300,000, so that's something for the board to consider
4	if they wanted to increase the number of potential grantees
5	that might be funded under this. Who's good at math? I
6	don't think that's even 1 percent.
7	MS. RAMOS: If you look at both yours
8	combined together, the average award is \$195,000.
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: \$195,000.
10	MR. LOZOYA: (Indiscernible) to consider a
11	minimum max a minimum, and a maximum; a different
12	amount between our ISD's and community colleges? And, we
13	just move the ISD's and give the community colleges a loan?
14	Would that be okay?
15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: The Advisory Board, can
16	consider whatever you just and the staff will do that.
17	You just create some you know, where there might be a
18	partnership of ideas. So, we typically have we done
19	that? I can't think of where we've done that.
20	MS. MILLER: Typically, we've had a cap
21	that's been the same for either eligible (indiscernible).
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Because the equipment is
23	going to be the same. If it's a welding piece of equipment
24	at the ISD level.
25	MR. LOZOYA: Yeah, but if we're talking about
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	you know, my point earlier; about the number of ISD's. Can
2	we agree to a couple more?
3	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I think the lower end
4	achieves the same objective for both. You could lower it
5	for both entities and be able to fund another one or two
6	every cycle. Depending on the averages moving forward. So,
7	if you take off the if we did 10, that's an average of
8	40,000 over, that's an extra 480,000, would be allocated
9	around two, and so that give you
10	MR. LOZOYA: This is not programmed
11	(indiscernible).
12	MR. CHAIRMAN: Around (indiscernible).
13	MR. FIDELIE: I'll make the motion, Chairman,
14	if you're ready for my thought would be 40,000 and
15	300,000 across the board.
16	MR. LOZOYA: I'll second that.
17	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We have a second.
18	MR. LOZOYA: I'll second.
19	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Everybody agrees. You got
20	one you got one answered. The motion passes.
21	MR. LOZOYA: 40/300?
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Thank you, Larry.
23	MR. DEMERSON: And, so again, the next item
24	we'd like to discuss about the signature on the RFA timeline
25	so that we're going to have a published application issued
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	soon after this board meeting, depending on the decisions
2	that are made here. Karol Huntmoses will forward the
3	timeline; and that's included in your booklet behind Tab 6,
4	of the timeline associated with (indiscernible). Karol?
5	MS. HUNTMOSES: Yes. Yes, once all the
6	details are finalized, we do plan on publishing the
7	(indiscernible) after the 14^{th} of February. It's going to be
8	out 45 more Calendar days. During that time, we'll be
9	receiving questions going to do a Q & A, for publishing
10	the question and answer documents. We'll receive documents
11	as a (indiscernible) to review (indiscernible)
12	disqualifications or anything that are (indiscernible).
13	Then we'll send it to an evaluation team (indiscernible)
14	with the initial proposal. And, then we'll have another set
15	of evaluators doing the impact. We'll be combining scores
16	to reach a final score of 100 percent. Once that is done,
17	we will come back to you approximately in June, or so. To
18	read the final list for approval. Once that approves, we'll
19	go into the contract development phase. (indiscernible)
20	(indiscernible) executed and signed by both parties
21	(indiscernible) Executive Office?
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Any questions on the
23	timeline? Would it be too uncomfortable if do we have a
24	motion to approve the timeline?
25	MR. LOZOYA: Do we have a motion to accept
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 the timeline? 2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Provide for a modification if necessary by Staff. 3 4 MR. LOZOYA: Yes, I will provide a 5 modification if necessary. 6 MR. GARDNER: I'll second. 7 MR. NORMAN: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We have four Aye's. 9 MR. DEMERSON: Mr. Chairman, so we -- last 10 item marked before this board goes in another direction, we can talk about the criteria, as well. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, let's talk about 13 the criteria and the impact to the 50. 14 MR. DEMERSON: Ok, can you pull it up? 15 MR. DEMERSON: Here to give you the scoring 16 criteria, we have Mike from (indiscernible) MCI Team, who 17 worked with David Townsend, who is no longer with us. Mike 18 has been picking up the (indiscernible). 19 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Go --20 MS. CLODFELTER: Could you turn on the 21 (indiscernible). 2.2 MR. DEMERSON: That's good. 23 MR. GUZMAN: Aaron, do we have it up here? 24 MR. DEMERSON: I don't think it's in your 25 (indiscernible). Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, we can read that.
2	Or, I can. Can you guys read it? (indiscernible) glasses,
3	and (indiscernible).
4	MS. CLODFELTHER: Do you want me to do
5	(indiscernible).
6	MR. DEMERSON: Okay, so Mike do you want to
7	take over with this one? So, this is the scoring criteria
8	behind the JET Program. 50 percent of the score for each
9	proposal will be based on the program evaluation as found in
10	Tab 2 of the technical proposal. This will be an RFA, and
11	then for the remaining 50 percent, economic impact
12	evaluation will be scored utilizing the following metrics
13	that are listed here. That's kind of the thing that Emily
14	talked about. The Wage Impact Score, plus the community
15	size, counts with 50 percent of the total score. And, both
16	of those categories will weigh equally. And, then the wage
17	impact score is calculated by multiplying the wage
18	differential. The program approximate wage for high
19	school graduates, subtracted from the entry level wage, for
20	that occupation. Multiply that by the number of anticipated
21	and lubricated students. And, that's dealing with the
22	amount of folks' number. Folks that they're actually
23	training. And the community type that defines the
24	community type defines the area being served by the
25	applicant; is either urban or rural. So, if you go back to
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	that community college level. So, that's public junior
2	college and technical institutes. And, scoring a matrix
3	behind that particular area. Mike, anything you want to add
4	to that, or anyone?
5	MS. CLODFELTER: I was going to say Mike and
б	his Team are do you want to cover the community type; how
7	the urban and rural is calculated?
8	MR. GUZMAN: Oh, sure, sure. Basically, the
9	wages that we used were from an PLS program. Basically,
10	those are the OES program, looks at metropolitan statistical
11	areas, which is what we consider, urban. So, if they're a
12	county, if they're within a county, that belongs to a
13	metropolitan statistical area, then that's considered to be
14	urban. Rural are those counties that do not are not part
15	of the mine faults physical area. Stuff that lies, you
16	know, in the rural part of the state. So, that's how that
17	was separate. Those wages are different. So, the urban has
18	specific wages that apply to them. And, then the ones that
19	don't exist in a metropolitan statistical area, they're all
20	pretty much the same, as far as rural. So
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Any questions?
22	MR. DEMERSON: So, that's associated with the
23	public and community colleges, and then we'll go to the
24	independent school districts, and the items the areas
25	that we're looking the areas of wage impact score,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	community type score, property wealth score as well. And,
2	we're trying to get it to a point where we're hitting both
3	the urban, and the where it all gets slanted towards
4	either urban or rural, in any particular case. And, so
5	those are the three criteria that we think make an impact
6	wage impact, community type and then the property of wealth
7	scored that kind of adds in that category. So, the wage
8	impact score, plus the community type score, plus the
9	property wealth score, counts for 50 percent of the score
10	and the wa second wage impact score is calculated by
11	multiplying the wage differential. Again, approximate age
12	wage for high school graduates, subtracted from the entry
13	level wage, for that occupation, by the number that you're
14	looking at being trained. And, then the third bullet;
15	community type as defined is based on the Texas Education
16	Agency District type glossary terms. And, that's dealing
17	with, again, urban, suburban, the different type of schools;
18	as they categorize them at TEA. And, then lastly, the
19	property wealth is defined as the total taxable property
20	value, divided by the total number of students. And, taking
21	that into account, again, so that we're not having
22	applications that go to those districts that are affluent,
23	and maybe not the ones that are less affluent, in that
24	regard. And, so those all those criteria over the past
25	two rounds, we've implemented it that way to try to create a
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	fair balance score scoring system. Mike, Emily, anything
2	with wage impact?
3	MS. CLODFELTER: So, the department evolved
4	data does come comes from TEA's as well. They have that
5	divided out by each ISV.
6	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Any questions? Okay.
7	MR. DEMERSON: Okay, so that pretty much
8	covers the areas that we were going to look at taking action
9	on. I talked speaking on criteria, associated with the
10	program. So, with that we'll go back to the funding
11	allocation for the questions associated
12	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I think Mario had some
13	comments. Or a comment.
14	MR. LOZOYA: Yes, I were recently, I was
15	in a meeting where Commissioner Morath presented the work
16	that he's doing around certifications, a certifications
17	list. The name of the initiative escapes my mind. But,
18	what it is the TEA is putting out a list of certifications
19	that they're encouraging ISD's to pursue. And, TEA will
20	then support those; try to recognize those. So, I'm I
21	was thinking that to support the Tri-Agency partnership,
22	that we should consider what TEA is looking as at
23	certifications that the TEA's interested in, and if any of
24	those programs would provide any of these JET programs
25	these applicants will provide a pathway to these
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	certifications that TEA's have tied to would merit the
2	points? So, my ask is for the board to consider including
3	TEA's effort in stream-lining certifications to the JET
4	program.
5	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Do you have questions or
6	comments? And, this would apply, obviously to the ISD's not
7	to create post-secondary institutions. The there is a
8	list. Emily, do you happen to have that list?
9	MS. CLODFELTER: Is it the one that you sent,
10	Mario? Is it the
11	MR. LOZOYA: I think so. If it's the wrong
12	list, don't shoot me.
13	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We I have the list,
14	but I don't have confidence. You can't if it's if you
15	don't have any, you can find it on the website.
16	MR. LOZOYA: My understanding is that there
17	will be two lists. The list that's been completed, are
18	those certifications that an ISD alone can accomplish. The
19	second list will be published eventually, was will be those
20	lists that and ISD and a community college together would
21	have to accomplish. Right, so
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: The the effort here is
23	to over time, for TEA to, as they're working on the
24	accountability system, and Commissioner Gardner, you've been
25	in some of these discussions (indiscernible). Larry,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	(indiscernible). As a build-out the accountability system;
2	the Texas Education Agency has reached out and talked to
3	different groups. They've identified also in working with
4	the Workforce Investment Council; they have reached out to
5	us to work with our local Boards, to look at their at
6	their list to date. But, if you look at that at this
7	list, this is their initial list of what they would count as
8	a part of the accountability system. It is going through a
9	validation process with Importers. And, Larry, is there
10	anything you want to add to that?
11	MR. TEMPLE: Well, we do have some criteria
12	that our Workforce Board sign-off as their demand. This may
13	or may not be lined up with what the boards demand
14	occupation. My guess; this list is much longer than what
15	the boards have (indiscernible). So, that may be
16	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I agree. Well, it's
17	actually only 88 it's less than 80 here, so it's probably
18	smaller, because you're not looking at different Sectors of
19	the economy, in total. So, factors to consider there are
20	we have a define list; the initial define list. It'll ex
21	it'll grow over time. But, if you look at it, it likely
22	leaves out some of the occupations that are becoming
23	MR. NORMAN: Especially the less regulated,
24	are not on there. So, I know there's been a lot of
25	conversations going on in construction trade. And,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	particularly for those that aren't licensed. And, I know
2	there's certifications that we and others have been working;
3	stone masons, carpenters, roofing. All of those kinds of
4	trades. And, so, I just I don't know. I'm not familiar.
5	I know some of those are on there, and some aren't.
6	MR. LOZOYA: Right, but that the
7	discussion is that I mean the list the list will
8	evolve.
9	MR. NORMAN: Right, Right.
10	MR. LOZOYA: So, the discussion is whatever
11	the list looks like; this year, next year and forever. In my
12	opinion, it should include the content of the list, in
13	partnership with TEA strategy.
14	MR. NORMAN: But, if you're not on the list,
15	you're at a disadvantage, though.
16	MR. FIDELIE: Being on the list gives you the
17	advantage.
18	MR. LOZOYA: I don't know listing it that way
19	I wouldn't put it that way, I think it puts you at an
20	advantage.
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So as an advantage to
22	somebody else's list.
23	MR. NORMAN: Right, right.
24	MR. LOZOYA: So, I also initially, ignorant
25	me, complained about this list, because our advanced mining
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	crafting certification are not on the list. But, the answer
2	was those kinds of certifications, you would need a
3	community college partner. I said, okay, I get it. So,
4	there's going to be another list that includes that kind of
5	certification. So, that's a different level.
6	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: How many certifications
7	are on this list, Emily? Can you scroll down to the number,
8	again?
9	MS. CLODFELTER: Yes, 74.
10	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, 74. And, if you look
11	at the first page; of the 74, 26 are automotive, which is
12	great, because we have a great demand for technicians and we
13	are working to increase the number of technicians.
14	MR. LOZOYA: But, to Larry's point is if the
15	work the local Workforce board does not have it on their
16	list, then it's not going to get it's not going to pass
17	the list. It's not going to come this way.
18	MR. TEMPLE: I was thinking you were saying
19	possibly a bonus-criteria if on this, which may not align
20	with what the board
21	MR. LOZOYA: No, no, I think the board
22	supersedes everything. The board says, yes, this is in high
23	demand, then the package continues this way, right? And, if
24	it doesn't, then it doesn't get here. Whether it's on this
25	list or not.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. TEMPLE: Correct, correct. I had it
2	backwards.
3	MR. LOZOYA: Maybe I just (indiscernible) to
4	describe it. So, this is what I'm saying; it could be on
5	this list. But, however, if it doesn't get to the board's,
6	you know, litmus test, it doesn't get here anyway, right?
7	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, that's the first almost
8	the first cut, there to the boards criteria.
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, if you look at those
10	so there it's heavy on automotive, and it's pretty
11	heavy on (indiscernible) yeah, on construction. And, then
12	if but if you look at some of the other things, that are
13	out there; (indiscernible) equipment, they're not reflected.
14	In terms of high demand occupations.
15	MR. NORMAN: I'm just not familiar. I
16	wouldn't want to disparage (indiscernible) then you know
17	from successfully getting on the list.
18	MR. LOZOYA: And, like I said
19	MR. NORMA: If there's needs in the
20	community, but if
21	MR. LOZOYA: And, like I said, this is not
22	final. I think there's going to be opportunities for it to
23	be adjusted.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: But, from the perspective
25	of this RFA; this would be all that the applicants would
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	potentially get an extra point for. If we were to add any
2	bonus points to it. So, I just want you all to think about
3	that. And, some of those things are software related. I'm
4	not sure that the if we want to include the software in
5	some of this. We have are we having any discussion on
б	this?
7	MR. TEMPLE: The software if it was
8	required for the equipment that would be involved, is what
9	at the time if I remember correctly.
10	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: If you were buying a
11	robot, no but if it were robotic welding
12	MR. TEMPLE: Then the software went with it.
13	But, buying Microsoft and this and that
14	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: If you look at 47-48, 49,
15	those are all Microsoft software's that are not necessary
16	for a bigger piece of equipment.
17	MR. GARDNER: So, there some of these I
18	don't think really require equipment, to fit the profile of
19	what the real
20	MR. TEMPLE: They would match with an
21	equipment.
22	MR. LOZOYA: That's my point. They shouldn't
23	make it through the Workforce board, letter. It wouldn't
24	make it this way. Right? This is not, it doesn't meet our
25	requirement of equipment and high demand jobs.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. TEMPLE: It wouldn't go with this
2	initiative for certainly wouldn't go with this initiative
3	for purchasing equipment. It wouldn't match up with
4	equipment. We have some skills grants that some of the
5	businesses have some of their employees getting
6	certifications in Excel or something like. And, the boards
7	sign-off on that. But, I don't really think those would
8	complement an equipment purchase. So, I guess that's what
9	we're looking at; to the degree that these would complement
10	a we wouldn't be funding buying office buying
11	computers to do an Excel and Word certification. I don't
12	think with the JET Program.
13	MR. LOZOYA: Agreed.
14	MR. TEMPLE: So, and this goes back to the
15	very beginning when you guys were discussing what it's going
16	to be and really what is going to be the hard equipment type
17	of purchase.
18	MR. FIDELIE: So, then to put it in
19	(indiscernible) to someone's who's head's not in this every
20	day; this is a list put of TEA of jobs that are high demand?
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: It's certifications that
22	they believe can take people towards a job, that equip them
23	with a certification that will ultimately lead to
24	employment. And, so that's one of the fundamental goals
25	there. Again, that's going through a process of validation,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	in partnership with TWIC. And, it obviously needs to be
2	expanded to reflect the different sectors of the economy,
3	because they are not equally represented there.
4	MR. NORMAN: Is there any AG stuff on it?
5	MR. LOZOYA: Is there any AG certification?
6	MR. NORMAN: Well, I mean there could be
7	(indiscernible) I just, I don't know. I just I don't
8	know, I'm not familiar enough. I just don't want to be
9	limiting to limit at all, but
10	MR. GARDNER: So, more or less, it's kind of
11	what TEA wants to do. I mean these examples you brought up
12	Microsoft. Some of these things, certainly are available
13	free online, through (indiscernible) Academy. And,
14	(indiscernible) and several things like that, where people
15	don't even have to pay. Some even pay a minimal amount of
16	money. And, you get a formal Microsoft certification, and
17	just, seems like there are other avenues; even if there's a
18	small cost associated with the school. I think it's
19	probably good to list for what TEA is trying to do. But, I
20	don't know that it's a good list for reference for programs,
21	and a good grant finder; if this were out here I'd say well,
22	okay, I can do this. And, even though they may or may not
23	check with their local folks in terms of what's needed
24	locally; it could end up spending time writing a proposal
25	for something that, you know, you guys, might have reached
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	(indiscernible) because.
2	MR. LOZOYA: Yeah, that's my point. It
3	wouldn't get here. (indiscernible)
4	MR. GARDNER: No, I'm saying that they might
5	spend their time, because they see this as a reference list,
6	we're going to this is one of the areas that they are
7	emphasizing, because you put out the list. I would think.
8	That's what I would do.
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, and there's great
10	demand for automotive and again, we're going to do all that
11	we can to make sure that more of our students get those
12	endorsements. But, there's also a great demand for many of
13	the occupations many of the companies that you represent.
14	And, you know, there's a lot going on in manufacturing that
15	maybe isn't captured there. There's certain health care
16	occupations that aren't getting captured there. And, so the
17	equipment, they were looking to buy for these programs, is
18	intended to build out the overall program. And, these
19	certifications are a key part of our strategy. We're big
20	supporters of it. I'm just not sure that it's
21	MR. LOZOYA: So, then maybe we don't have to
22	adopt the whole list. We can adopt those lists that are
23	that we know are in those industries that we're you know,
24	IT, and
25	MR. TEMPLE: Are you talking about
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Cristina? When we were talking about the laptops, it would
2	laptops that require to run the our a computer
3	it would require to run the equipment, application for the
4	equipment. But, we weren't looking at computer labs for
5	buying computers for computer lab, let's say for Microsoft,
6	
	Excel. And, so it wouldn't be that type of equipment, so
7	MS. RAMOS: That's correct.
8	MR. TEMPLE: To the degree yeah.
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I think that an applicant
10	you know, there's two ways to go about this; one, you can
11	adopt it as the criteria, where you're working on points,
12	that has the effect of leaving out certain Sectors, and
13	certain many occupations that aren't on the list yet,
14	that we know are in demand in different regions. On the
15	other hand, if somebody is submitting an application, if
16	they're working on this they can highlight the fact in
17	terms of their high demand occupations, this narrative,
18	and highlight that, and that through the investment of this
19	wonderful equipment, for automotive, will be able to provide
20	these certifications to our students.
21	And, that just naturally strengthens their
22	arguments for a high demand kind of occupational design.
23	So, I'd love for that to be a part of it. I'm not sure that
24	the overall framework yet is fully developed to keep an
25	equal opportunity for the different sectors out there. So,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600
	1

1	that was your point, right?
2	MR. NORMAN: Definitely, yeah, but I think it
3	definitely should be referenced to the materials.
4	MR. LOZOYA: So, how with that how will
5	that look? Will the staff then kind of have a lens for
6	this? For this TEA list, as the applications come in?
7	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, again, that's up to
8	the Advisory Board. There's, and I'm not sure what you're
9	proposing, Mario. There's the proposal where you're
10	(indiscernible) additional points be given for those
11	investments that lead to these certifications. And, you
12	understand the advantages and disadvantages based on what
13	sectors and occupations are covered there; that we know are
14	in demand, and that are not yet reflected there, versus
15	reminding them that these are some of the things that are
16	out there.
17	MR. LOZOYA: Maybe, maybe I don't know
18	exactly where it fits. My idea is to find a way to be in
19	alignment with the Tri-Agency Initiative. And, the TEA is
20	working on something. I think we should we should
21	support.
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And we do.
23	MR. LOZOYA: And, then the TEA's should
24	support us, right, on other things. So, this is another one
25	of those times this opportunity in my opinion for us to
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	show that hey the JET Team here is also supporting TEA,
2	past the, you know, streamline certifications, by
3	considering those applicants that have certifications on the
4	TEA list. How that goes, I don't know?
5	MR. GARDNER: I would think that school
6	districts, and this is with final adoption TEA, are
7	imminently aware of this, and this is probably going to be
8	the starting point in most cases, without us having
9	(indiscernible), because TEA will be pushing them in that
10	direction.
11	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: They'll get points for
12	it. So, it'll be reflected in their grant. And, so there's
13	def there's definitely going to be an evolution towards
14	this, if the as we support TEA towards those efforts, I'm
15	really hoping that their successful, because again, just
16	looking at the bullets we're looking at right now at the
17	very top, we need more students pursuing that bill. But, we
18	also need to respond to the students in these other sectors,
19	who keep reminding us that we need to get them excited about
20	those careers. (indiscernible). Larry, do you want to add
21	anything else to this?
22	MR. TEMPLE: No, just this, as it relates to
23	the purchase of equipment, I think is where the difference
24	is. Going back to the grant writers, can be very creative,
25	and the message that this is about equipment and whatever it
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	needs to support it. But, keeps you away from Certified
2	Patient Care Technician. Not sure how much equipment; we
3	could very well be buying mannequins and that sort of thing,
4	which would fall into that. But, as opposed to Microsoft
5	Word and Excel. We wouldn't be buying computers for labs,
6	with this grant. We just need to make sure that if we do
7	reference something like this that it's in the context that
8	it.
9	MR. LOZOYA: It still has to be a qualified
10	program. Just because it's on the list, doesn't mean we're
11	going to yeah.
12	MR. TEMPLE: Maybe as this list expands could
13	be
14	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Mario, do you have any
15	MR. LOZOYA: So, maybe not in a motion, but
16	maybe a request that the next time we meet, the staff, maybe
17	provide us with a proposal of how we can include
18	MR. TEMPLE: I have an idea. Maybe the next
19	time we can look at the list as it is developed now. And,
20	have it bump up against what we have the Workforce
21	scores, as on there (indiscernible) just say, where the gap
22	is, if any. And, knowing that that's going to be growing as
23	time goes on.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, the when you
25	look at these things, a number of these loop back into one
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

or two occupations. Right? And, so, it's not a line for 1 2 line. It's not 22, is one occupation. It could be the 22, 23, 24 --3 4 MR. TEMPLE: Sure. 5 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: -- feed into one б occupation, and so that's another issue when you look at 7 this. Certainly, when you look at 28, Certified Dental 8 Assistant, that's a clear occupation, right? 9 MR. TEMPLE: Yeah. 10 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, and some of these -11 12 MR. NORMAN: (Indiscernible) on 23 is --13 MR. TEMPLE: Yeah. 14 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I was looking at the 15 dental occupation. Is that what you mean? MR. LOZOYA: Yes, it is. Okay, so fair 16 17 enough. (Indiscernible). 18 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: I do think that we need 19 to do all we can to support these certifications. We have 20 such strong demand for that group of 28, in terms of those 21 certifications at the very top of this list. And, we're 22 certainly going to work as an agency to support more 23 students getting these. And, we will see if there's a way 24 that we and build into the narrative, that over time, we 25 would need something like that over the narrative; we intend Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

to keep look at the work of our partners at TEA, and the Cohort. And, through our local boards, to make sure that they reflect support and provide more opportunities for indemand certification; to reaffirm that goal. We can do that sort of thing. We'll write that into the preamble to the (indiscernible).

7 MR. TEMPLE: For instance, if we -- if TEA 8 had this list, at an ISD would look like the program here, 9 and the college doesn't have this yet, and they work with 10 the board to where I'd sign off on this; this would be a 11 capacity building right there for a start-up. So, I can see 12 that happening. We can just make sure that our narrative 13 would cover something like that. But, that would be -- you know, it could take a while for the local college to catch 14 15 up with this list. I'm sure you guys are working together. 16 But, just because it's on this list, doesn't mean that all 17 the community colleges -- (indiscernible) certainly, all 18 these ISD's, have caught up with this list yet. We could 19 help and support that -- this list as it goes. 20 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Oh, yeah. 21 MR. NORMAN: The fact this list is growing is 22 a very good thing, due to historically the lack of 23 certifications and the challenges on the CTE side of it. 24 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Oh, absolutely. 25 MR. NORMAN: And, so in various industries Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	have worked to get the support, and continue to do so in
2	others. Like you said, this is evolving.
3	MR. TEMPLE: Mr. Chairman, one of the things
4	we had last time was that some of we could tell that the
5	timing that some of the boards do in say quarterly
6	meetings; or annual re-evaluations of their local demand
7	occupations. And, some of the programs we had, the board
8	signed off on, but they had not had an official meeting to
9	change that. Of course, we balked but the board said it
10	did; we went with it. So, I think was kind of thought of
11	that same type of thing. The board could certainly sign off
12	on this and then not be on their list yet. Because, it's
13	not out there and whatnot, and like I said this JET Program
14	could be something that could help.
15	MR. LOZOYA: So, it makes sense to wait for
16	the next round and send this out to the board, and get their
17	feedback.
18	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well we can, we can
19	actually (indiscernible) it ourselves. We have the item,
20	and data, yeah, so
21	MR. LOZOYA: Okay, sounds good.
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, then we'll try to
23	fit those in with-in the occupations and see how many of
24	those fit within. How many occupations are we talking
25	about? How many sectors would benefit. You have welding
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	only gets a number of different Sectors, right? And, so we
2	just need to look at it from that perspective, and at the
3	consent of the Advisory Board, that's what we'll do.
4	MR. NORMAN: I have a scoring question. Post
5	Harvey, in community meetings up and down the coast that
6	have changed, in the wake of the storm; how does that factor
7	into the community schools for scoring?
8	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We certainly have not
9	factored that in.
10	MR. NORMAN: This is going to be the first
11	round.
12	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: This will be the first
13	round.
14	MR. NORMAN: I don't know if there's a way
15	they can insert that in. I'm not saying that we necessarily
16	need more priority, but I think it's something that we need
17	to be cognizant of. Because, obviously, the workforce needs
18	to change in all these communities.
19	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Larry, can you tell us
20	how many investments
21	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, one of the things that we
22	did as kind of, you all were (indiscernible), we met with
23	our Workforce board, whether we did the meetings all around
24	the area; and what we heard, particularly from home builders
25	and the remodel; not so much the big contractors.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Petrochemical type sized as; but there was a shortage of not
2	necessarily license, but plumbers, painters, electrical
3	helpers, weld you know, that sort of thing. Roofers that
4	do certification in the storm area, and they're either
5	everything down there was on a semester type basis. It was
6	longer than what the employers of builders said they needed,
7	the contractors needed. So, we worked with the colleges,
8	and we invested about \$3,000,000, I think. Reagan, was it
9	about \$3,000,000 we invested with the Colleges in hurricane
10	area?
11	MS. MILLER: Yes.
12	MR. TEMPLE: To identify those areas, signed-
13	off by the board.
14	MR. NORMAN: In an unbelievable amount of
15	time.
16	MR. TEMPLE: We went out pretty quick.
17	MR. NORMAN: The governmental (indiscernible)
18	involved; it was amazing.
19	MR. TEMPLE: And, so, they shortened the
20	curricula, you know, what was needed. Six to eight weeks at
21	the most. And, a lot of it was equipment that we paid for.
22	About a \$3,000,000 investment there, and those. We're re-
23	looking at this now, for allied health, and IT needs. The
24	money that we spent isn't restricted to people that just
25	qualify for the dislocated worker type grant. General
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	public could come in for these courses. They'd have to
2	the school would collect whatever the tuition would be. We
3	would pay for the ones that are eligible for that. But,
4	that we did have that out there. And, but, so we've got
5	an investment as far as those. And, it's mainly
6	(indiscernible) construction. But, we have gone out now and
7	asked for them to let us know, in the (indiscernible) for
8	this grant, for IT and allied health.
9	MR. NORMAN: (indiscernible).
10	MR. TEMPLE: You know
11	MR. NORMAN: Well, I mean not even
12	construction, I mean (indiscernible) especially lower coast,
13	or middle coast. A lot of those jobs are gone, so these
14	peoples are looking at, man, I'll be starting new careers.
15	Not just men, I mean obviously, there's a lot of work in
16	construction now, you know, whatever. I just wondered if
17	this factors in, somehow.
18	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well we don't have let
19	me answer the ask answer the question so we can keep
20	going. The Advisory Board has the flexibility to add
21	criteria. And, if one of the factors we want to consider is
22	adding added weight to those communities that are in
23	those hurricane impacted communities. The answer is yes.
24	The Advisory Board can elect to provide some added weights
25	to ISD's and community colleges, that are serving
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	individuals in the impacted Hurricane Harvey areas. It's up
2	to this board to direct Staff whether or not they we want
3	to develop a factor, and the percentage points that they
4	would like to award, based on those considerations.
5	MR. TEMPLE: That would be 61 Counties, I
6	believe that was declared by the governor, and about 10 less
7	than that that actually qualified for it federally. But,
8	for the purpose here, I think you'd go with what the
9	governor had declared; those 61 or 2 Counties.
10	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, that includes
11	counties like how far central texas?
12	MR. TEMPLE: Well, it comes up in to the
13	lower part of San Antonio.
14	MR. NORMAN: Fayette county. La Grange.
15	MR. TEMPLE: Yes, uh huh, La Grange, those
16	areas. Probably 7 work 8 Workforce (indiscernible).
17	MS. MILLER: Yeah, rural cap had one county.
18	MR. TEMPLE: Right. So (indiscernible)
19	population of the state. Collective, yeah, it was about
20	MR. LOZOYA: What is that? Is it certain
21	designation, disaster zone, or what is it called?
22	MR. TEMPLE: Well, the governor's declaration
23	was larger than what FEMA did, by about 10 counties, or
24	so.
25	MR. LOZOYA: Well, then, that's what we
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

should use, instead of Harvey. Is like, if --1 2 We could go with the governor of MR. TEMPLE: 3 Texas. 4 Mr. LOZOYA: If the county is --5 MR. NORMAN: If the county is declared as a 6 disaster. 7 MR. LOZOYA: Right. Right. Because it could 8 be something else next year. Right. A tornado and then --9 MR. TEMPLE: 10 MR. LOZOYA: Right, and then if it's declared 11 whatever it is zone, in the last you know, three to six 12 months, then, you don't know --13 MR. TEMPLE: There's fires, floods, Hurricane 14 Harvey, I mean it could be anything like you said. I mean 15 the timeline is from last year so --16 MR. FIDELIE: We kind of see this as a one-17 time thing; not an ongoing thing. Because, I mean if you 18 look at the map, I mean all those areas are pretty well 19 served already, by the grant, where of course, the more 20 rural Texas; there's nothing up there. And, so if there's a 21 one-time deal, if we want to give a little preference this 22 time, obviously, they're trying to rebuild. I'm okay with that, but long-term, and I want to talk about this is, how 23 24 do we get the word to rural Texas? Which --25 MR. NORMAN: Are those holes in the map more Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	because they were one applications; militaries?
2	MS. CLODFELTER: Some are, some aren't.
3	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: They weren't working.
4	You know, they are part of the (indiscernible). And, so one
5	of the considerations in terms of Tony's comments, are you
6	know, is it one time? And, two; you know, is there other
7	some other is there some form of (indiscernible) that
8	they're impact impacted? But, you know there's one thing
9	to be in the area
10	MR. NORMAN: I mean, is there special, I
11	mean, that's kind of broad. Is there special circumstances
12	(indiscernible) expand on the situation in the community or
13	something. It's hard to quantify.
14	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: It's hard to quantify,
15	you know, to give special circumstance. So, in other words,
16	there's an ISD forty miles from here, or eighty miles from
17	here. They're in the zone. They are in the proclamation,
18	but there is no damage.
19	MR. LOZOYA: But there is no rain.
20	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: But there was no damage
21	to that school; to that program; to that to the
22	equipment. You go into Rockdale. Safe to say that there was.
23	MR. NORMAN: Rockport.
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Thank you, Rockdale is
25	the further than 80 miles. I was talking (indiscernible).
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Thank you
2	MR. NORMAN: Yeah, there's still schools
3	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, Rockport, Port
4	Aransas, you know, (indiscernible) and then it kind of keeps
5	going. And, then a certain point, it's harder to document
6	the
7	MR. TEMPLE: We had some counties that made
8	it into the declared zone. But, out of 130 people that
9	qualified for the unemployment insurance.
10	MR. NORMAN: Might be one neighbor but
11	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, and so, right. Now,
12	obviously what we were looking at was based on the
13	Department of Labor funding and the funding for getting
14	proper education for the rehab program. But, there's still
15	issues with bridges and infrastructure, and there could be
16	schools that we would not have been involved with.
17	MR. NORMAN: You know, the town of Port
18	Arthur where 85 percent of the structures had significant
19	flooding. You know, 85 percent of those
20	MR. DEMERSON: Mr. Chairman?
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yes, sir.
22	MR. DEMERSON: We can place special emphasis
23	if we don't want (indiscernible) trying to allocate points
24	of the Staff is going to be directed to go out and emphasis
25	the importance of this JET grant to those communities that
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	have been
2	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, once we post, we're
3	not on the road.
4	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, once we post, we're not on
5	the road.
6	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We're not on the road
7	anymore, after today's meeting. We're posting.
8	MR. TEMPLE: We knew our (indiscernible) last
9	cast that it's out and all that but we, as a rule, for
10	members of seat, once we put an RFP out, we don't to be
11	accused of playing favorites by hitting this part of the
12	state and not that part of the state and that sort of thing.
13	MR. NORMAN: Yeah, I just, you know first
14	time (indiscernible) I wanted to bring it up, I don't know.
15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: No, I think, so a number
16	of ways; one you can (indiscernible); two you can do it, you
17	go with the proclamation counties; and then three, tying it
18	up by the points where there's an additional five. Or,
19	whatever the Advisory Committee would recommend. They would
20	recommend that there would be there would be in a
21	designated county; and then also have documented damage to
22	the ISD. That way you can kind of so, if you want to do
23	that, you could do a motion on the points or
24	MR. NORMAN: I don't have enough context to
25	know the point number.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Which well, what's the
2	what are your thoughts, for the advisory committee? Should
3	we give preference during this rank year, to provide some
4	additional points; 5 percent, 10 percent. What criteria do
5	we have for rural areas?
6	MS. CLODFELTER: Kind of who's benefitting
7	for it?
8	MR. LOZOYA: How many points for a rural
9	area?
10	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Is it 20 points?
11	MS. CLODFELTER: So, for the Community
12	Colleges for the (indiscernible) impact. The the 50
13	percent the (indiscernible) reduction is worth 15 points,
14	25 and then we took 25 points for being rural. And, then
15	the Urban areas get 12.5, (indiscernible).
16	MR. FIDELIE: So, they get an extra 12.5
17	points out of 100 total. 50 in that section?
18	MS. CLODFELTER: Correct, yes.
19	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, you could zero in
20	on 5, 10, whatever
21	MR. NORMAN: I will defer to fellow board
22	members on that point amount, but I will say, I will
23	restrict it more; must be in affected counties, and there
24	(indiscernible) must have had damage.
25	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Documented damage.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. TEMPLE: And, they're going to have that
2	for a back-up. They will submit that they're going to
3	have
4	MR. NORMAN: That takes care of a lot of
5	those counties.
6	MR. TEMPLE: They would I mean they would
7	be able to submit that, the schools
8	MR. NORMAN: They're probably already
9	MR. TEMPLE: Survey's and ISD's that
10	they've surveyed, I'm sure.
11	MR. GARDNER: We've had a survey of higher
12	institutions, but the experience has been, that those
13	surveys actually understate the previous hurricanes that
14	they're always concerned about submitting those figures,
15	because they discover damage that could be wrong, until much
16	later. So, I'm not sure how accurate those are at this
17	point. That is what the government's office has been using;
18	we do have that.
19	MR. DEMERSON: We've can look at this. We
20	can look at adding 5 extra points.
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, what's funny
22	Tony has some comments. Let's go (indiscernible)
23	MR. FIDELIE: I think you know, we're being -
24	- kind of what I'm hearing, tell where right now is so
25	I've got the motion that if you are a county if you're
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	application falls in a county that the governor declared, a
2	governor's declared county, and you have substantial
3	documented damage to your facility, that you get an extra 5
4	points on the application.
5	MR. FIDELIE: I'll second that.
6	MR. NORMAN: (indiscernible)
7	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Is that doable staff?
8	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, I think we that's the
9	easy ones. But, that doesn't negate for rural areas for
10	rural areas. Well, I think that's fair.
11	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, you have a motion
12	and it's been adopted unanimous. (indiscernible)
13	MR. LOZOYA: We're voting for this for
14	this year.
15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Modified, to this year;
16	is there an agreement, for only just this grant year?
17	MR. TEMPLE: First and second rounds. Both
18	rounds. First and second rounds.
19	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, (indiscernible).
20	Thank you, Mario. Thank you, Scott. Thank you, board
21	members. Aaron, we're just going to get back to the split.
22	And, Mario, you had something else?
23	MR. TEMPLE: Mr. Chairman?
24	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Were the allocations
25	MR. NORMAN: (indiscernible).
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. TEMPLE: Both rounds? For this year's
2	funding, for the entire \$10,000,000? Or just the first
3	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: No, I think the request
4	was for \$5,000,000, for the first round.
5	MR. TEMPLE: \$5,000,000, for the first round.
6	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yes.
7	MR. TEMPLE: Okay.
8	MR. NORMAN: (indiscernible).
9	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, this fiscal year.
10	MR. TEMPLE: Got you, okay.
11	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: This grant year, this
12	fiscal year. That's what they voted.
13	MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, yeah, thank you.
14	MR. LOZOYA: Did we agree that
15	(indiscernible) motion that the community colleges would
16	have to partner with an ISD?
17	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We haven't gotten back to
18	that discussion.
19	MR. LOZOYA: Right, because I think if we go
20	that direction, then I'm fine with the 50/50. Because
21	you're right, the point of that is they would have then
22	share the assets with ISD's. That makes sense to me.
23	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: David?
24	MR. GARDNER: I would suggest that they get
25	extra weight for that. Because the legislature didn't
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

require that. I think it might be for the rural part be, 1 2 maybe fundamentally if we gave them, say, an extra 5 points by virtue of --3 MR. NORMAN: In various (indiscernible). 4 5 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: But partnering -- and 6 partnering can be demonstrated to a dual credit partnership, 7 a duel credit partnership, or other alignments. 8 MR. NORMAN: Sharing agreement, facility 9 sharing. facilities sharing. 10 MR. GARDNER: Yeah, it might be that. So, 11 it's the typical high school partnering with the use of 12 facilities at the community college. 13 MR. NORMAN: Or, the other way around. I 14 mean, it goes both ways. If the school district wants to 15 build something with the community colleges. 16 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, you'd have the same 5 17 points applied both to ISD's and community colleges? 18 I would say. I mean, don't you MR. NORMAN: 19 want to encourage it both ways? 20 MR. FIDELIE: Well, it's mandated by statute 21 the comm -- the school district has to partner with the 22 community college. Is that right? 23 MR. GARDNER: For the community college, do it the other way around. 24 25 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, you want to give Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

them the language, Mario and David combined? 1 2 MR. LOZOYA: I think it's David's idea, from 3 _ _ 4 MR. GARDNER: I guess I -- you'd know how it 5 would fit into the application. Essentially, the community б colleges would get 5 additional points, on the virtue of 7 having a clear agreement with this one local ISD. 8 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, that could be -- it 9 doesn't have to be formal, right? It could be demonstrated 10 through dual credits. 11 MR. LOZOYA: To resource --12 MR. GARDNER: Resource sharing equipment. 13 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Career pathway alignment. 14 MR. GARDNER: They'd have to make that clear 15 in the proposal. 16 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: So, they still need to 17 demonstrate in any number of ways; including -- so that I 18 think what David and Mario said; to include, but not limited 19 to, these examples. Dual credit, resource sharing, early 20 college models, career pathway developments. 21 MR. GARDNER: And, it does say 22 (indiscernible) related to the equipment they're wanting to 23 buy. 24 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, related to --25 MR. LOZOYA: (indiscernible) related to dual Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 credit period. It has nothing to with --2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, related to the 3 pathway program being developed. 4 MR. LOZOYA: At the grant writer 5 (indiscernible) 6 MR. TEMPLE: Can I ask you a question? 7 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Yeah, yes. 8 MR. TEMPLE: If the school had damage to the 9 gymnasium, and certified that in a declared area, does that 10 qualify? Or does it have to be damage to the CPE program, 11 or something like that? 12 MR. LOZOYA: That's equipment. 13 MR. GARDNER: I would argue damage to the 14 school, because these are their resources. 15 MR. NORMAN: Their resources are harmed. 16 MR. TEMPLE: Just wanted to clarify that. 17 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Our intent is for having to launch new career pathway programs. 18 19 MR. TEMPLE: Yeah, I understand that. 20 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: And, many of these ISD's 21 just don't have the equipment to do it. 22 MR. NORMAN: And, if they're having to spend 23 significant resources to rebuild, that's going to be that 24 much less they have to spend on this equipment. MR. TEMPLE: Just to clarify so when we get 25 Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 in in --2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: If we want them to build 3 new programs, we can't disadvantage those who didn't have 4 the program to begin with. 5 MR. TEMPLE: Just helps them when they start б getting, when they start evaluating. 7 MR. GARDNER: You know it might be the case 8 where it would help to have a little narrative in terms of 9 what you just said, saying this is really what we're trying 10 to resolve this. We're still trying to --MR. TEMPLE: Well, this takes away from 11 12 (indiscernible). I understand, yeah, that, and we're still 13 waiting on payment. We're still waiting yada-yada. They're 14 tasked, I don't -- I get that --15 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Did you get the --16 MR. TEMPLE: That's a meeting that they're 17 going to call me and go, okay, so here's what we got; now 18 (indiscernible) --19 MR. NORMAN: (Indiscernible) they have one 20 bus blow over. 21 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Cristina, do you have what 2.2 you need from the --23 That's when they come in and MR. TEMPLE: 24 they go applicant number 22. 25 MS. RAMOS: I do have a question on the Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

second request. 1 2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, the second one. 3 MS. RAMOS: Regarding the collaboration from -- with the community college and the ISD. What if that 4 5 college is the partner for the ISD that is submitting an 6 application, and it is the right program that they are 7 requesting equipment for? 8 MR. GARDNER: So, you're saying the community 9 college and the ISD are requesting the same thing? That's 10 double dipping. Wouldn't that be --11 MR. NORMAN: Yeah, wouldn't we just want them 12 to do it once? 13 MS. RAMOS: Yes. 14 MR. GARDNER: So, I think the intent would 15 not be to fund the same thing twice. MS. RAMOS: Okay, all right. Because I have 16 17 seen it. 18 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: You're point is valid, we 19 might be creating a situation. 20 MR. TEMPLE: Oh, where they do two 21 applications. 22 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Or where they don't work 23 together, they don't want to work together, because if the 24 ISD submits it; they're the ones that are getting funded, 25 and when the community college submits it, the ISD doesn't Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	get funded. That's a problem.
2	MR. TEMPLE: And, we've had that.
3	MR. LOZOYA: That's a community that doesn't
4	have a strategy. When they're (indiscernible)
5	MR. GARDNER: They can submit for different
6	types of projects. We just don't want them both submitting
7	for that same automotive program. But if one's for
8	automotive and another is for nursing, that's a different
9	situation.
10	MR. TEMPLE: And, it's different if it's an
11	ISD, but a separate campus. And, working in an area so
12	there are ways that I know these have come up. This
13	discussion is really helpful for the evaluators to kind of
14	what your intent was. So, thank you for the discussions.
15	MS. RAMOS: We just wanted to clarify
16	because, there's just collaboration, and then there's the
17	collaboration that's going into the proposals.
18	MR. NORMAN: There's collusion.
19	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: (Indiscernible).
20	MR. LOZOYA: So, procedurally, was there
21	supposed to be a motion for that?
22	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: We need a motion. We
23	need you or David to offer a motion, and then it needs to be
24	adopted.
25	MR. LOZOYA: So, the motion is to add to the
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	criteria in the community college segment that if they
2	partner with an ISD, in areas, such as, but not limited to;
3	and you listed them there, would then acquire a 5-point
4	advantage, or 5-point
5	MS. CLODFELTER: Additional to add 5
6	additional points.
7	MR. LOZOYA: 5 additional points.
8	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Do we have a second?
9	MR. GARDNER: Aye.
10	MS. CLODFELTER: Sorry, could I read off the
11	to include or be limited to? We have dual credit,
12	resource, early college model and career pathways. Anything
13	else? Okay.
14	MR. LOZOYA: Yes, perfect.
15	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Anything else that any of
16	the other members have? Not limited to? Including, but not
17	limited to. All right, so we have unanimous adoption show
18	me not voting. Aaron, what other business do we have?
19	MR. DEMERSON: On funding allocation.
20	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, funding allocation.
21	Go back to the question of the amount available to community
22	post-secondary institutions and ISD's. Previously, we've
23	been at 50/50. Mario, do you have a motion?
24	MR. LOZOYA: I do not, now that we've made
25	that adjustment with the community college to extend the
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

resource sharing to ISD's, I do not have a motion. 1 2 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Tony, do you have a 3 motion to move to 50/50. 4 MR. GARDNER: I move 50/50. 5 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, who was second? 6 Tony? Aye? Okay, we're 50/50, unanimous. With the -- and 7 with that motion, we -- the board, do we have a motion to in 8 addition to the \$5,000,000, any unspent balances from the 9 prior grant year, be spent and made available for this grant 10 year at the same allocation level. Do we -- do you amend 11 that motion, David? In other words, any recaptured funds be 12 reinvested, in the grant program at the 50/50 level. 13 MR. GARDNER: (Indiscernible). 14 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, second? Okay, do 15 you have a motion to do all that? 16 MR. GARDNER: Aye. 17 MR. FIDELIE: (Indiscernible) table clearly. 18 MR. TEMPLE: One of the things that maybe 19 you've got to -- just a -- sometimes once we deal with the 20 negotiations, with the score, sometimes that budget comes 21 down, we have additional dollars available. 22 CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Did we get that kind of 23 award last time? 24 MR. TEMPLE: And, so what we'd like is your 25 direction if we could then take -- as it comes in each Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	category, down to the next high score coming down, where we
2	could fund them all, get that money out, without having to
3	come back to you for
4	MR. GARDNER: I'll make that a motion.
5	MS. CLODFELTER: Yes, and we'll go over that
6	when we're going over that.
7	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Do you need that motion
8	now? We do it at the end, right? Aaron, what other
9	business do we have?
10	MR. DEMERSON: I think that's covers the
11	business under the action items here.
12	AGENDA ITEM NO. 5
13	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, can we get to the
14	timeline real quick?
15	MR. DEMERSON: The timeline.
16	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: For the benefit of the
17	Advisory Board. If you look under tab 6, back page of the
18	timeline, we're scheduled to meet sometime around June, to
19	approve the winners, and to do what Larry just recommended
20	that we do; to give authority that funds an additional
21	just for your benefit, my intent is that we shortly
22	thereafter, take action to approve the posting of the next
23	fiscal year awards so we can get that money out sooner. So,
24	right about the time that we're wrapping this up, we'll go
25	ahead and have the discussion on moving forward with the FY.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	What's the second year of the FY? FY `19 grant awards so
2	that we can get those posted, and follow a timeline that
3	gets us with the money going out, end of the year; beginning
4	of the next calendar year. That's just more of an fyi.
5	Anything else, Aaron?
б	MR. NORMAN: And, you know that we don't know
7	that we don't know that date.
8	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Generally, we're looking
9	staff believes to be around June 18^{th} , when we have the
10	meeting to select the winners. And, we may in fact, decide
11	to take action to go ahead and move forward. We'll have
12	that discussion, and Emily will brief you on if we want to
13	go ahead and try to have the meeting on the second grant
14	year, during that time. Emily will get back to you sometime
15	around May with all of that. Is that fair? Anything else?
16	MS. CLODFELTER: No, you covered number 5.
17	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: All right, do we have a
18	motion to adjourn this meeting? Any final comments board
19	members?
20	MR. LOZOYA: I always have comments.
21	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Okay, please.
22	MR. LOZOYA: I want to thank I want to
23	thank all the support here, and you guys are doing a great
24	job. It means a lot to Texas, means a lot to the under-
25	served communities that haven't had opportunities to get
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	these kinds of credits. And, you can see the data; that
2	it's working, it's helping, and I'm looking forward to
3	continuing to working with you. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Tony?
5	MR. FIDELIE: I just kind of want to tail on
6	that; I'll just say, you know, the proof is in the pudding.
7	We've set a charge last year to saying go get the school
8	districts involved. And, they've gotten involved; they're
9	getting involved. There's a lot more to get involved, but
10	that goes to the travel team, of all those that are going,
11	but also the back-office team who are staying here any
12	processing it all. It's being run very professionally, and
13	I'm glad to have a small part in it.
14	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Thank You, Tony. On
15	behalf of the staff, I thank you. Scott?
16	MR. NORMAN: Glad to be working, glad to be a
17	part of this great discussion. Staff appears to be doing a
18	wonderful job. Again, my hats off to your team, Larry, for
19	your all's turn around, and all the work you all did post-
20	storm. It's exceedingly impressive. Our members around the
21	state, especially in those affected areas along the coast,
22	I'm very impressed.
23	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: David, do you have any
24	final comments
25	MR. GARDNER: This is an important program,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	and I think I admire the hard-working staff. And, I know
2	that it's a little harder after today's meeting, but I
3	appreciate your efforts.
4	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Well, we thank you for
5	being here. Thank you all for your service to Texas.
6	Mario, I really appreciate all the extra work in terms of
7	the ideas. They are really good ideas, and we'll keep
8	working at different angles to make sure that we connect
9	that. But, not just for this program; for the other work of
10	the tri-agency partnership. Tony and Scott, appreciate all
11	that you're doing, thank you for your time today.
12	AGENDA ITEM NO. 6
13	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Do we have a motion to
14	adjourn this meeting?
15	MR. LOZOYA: motion to adjourn.
16	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: Second?
17	MR. FIDELIE: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN ALCANTAR: All right, we're
19	adjourned, thank you.
20	
21	(Proceedings concluded at 3:45 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	STATE OF TEXAS)
3	COUNTY OF TRAVIS)
4	I, Kimberly C. McCright, Certified Vendor and
5	Notary in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that
6	the above-mentioned matter occurred as hereinbefore set out.
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such
8	were reported by me or under my supervision, later reduced
9	to typewritten form under my supervision and control and
10	that the foregoing pages are a full, true and correct
11	transcription of the original notes.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13	and seal this 6th day of February, 2018.
14	
15	/s/ Kimberly C. McCright
16	Kimberly C. McCright Certified Vendor and Notary Public
17	
18	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 1322 Space Park Drive, Suite C165
19	Houston, Texas 77058 281.724.8600
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600